

Orbifold index and equivariant K -homology

Ulrich Bunke

February 2, 2008

Abstract

Let G be countable group and M be a proper cocompact even-dimensional G -manifold with orbifold quotient $\bar{M}$. Let D be a G -invariant Dirac operator on M . It induces an equivariant K -homology class $[D] \in K_0^G(M)$ and an orbifold Dirac operator $\bar{D}$ on $\bar{M}$. Composing the assembly map $K_0^G(M) \rightarrow K_0(C^*(G))$ with the homomorphism $K_0(C^*(G)) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ given by the representation $C^*(G) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ of the maximal group C^* -algebra induced from the trivial representation of G we define $\text{index}([D]) \in \mathbb{Z}$. In the second section of the paper we show that $\text{index}(\bar{D}) = \text{index}([D])$ and obtain explicit formulas for this integer. In the third section we review the decomposition of $K_0^G(M)$ in terms of the contributions of fixed point sets of finite cyclic subgroups of G obtained by W. Lück. In particular, the class $[D]$ decomposes in this way. In the last section we derive an explicit formula for the contribution to $[D]$ associated to a finite cyclic subgroup of G .

Contents

1	Introduction	2
2	Assembly and orbifold index	4
2.1	The equivariant K -homology class of an invariant Dirac operator	4
2.2	Descent and index	5
2.3	Index and Orbifold index	5
2.4	The local index theorem	7
2.5	Cyclic subgroups	9
2.6	Cap product and twisting	9
2.7	A cohomological index formula for twisted operators	10
3	Chern characters	11
3.1	The cohomological Chern character	11
3.2	Differential forms	12

3.3	Smooth approximations of CW -complexes	13
3.4	The homological Chern character	15
4	Explicit decomposition of K-homology classes	15
4.1	An index formula	15
4.2	Decomposition	18

1 Introduction

Let G be countable group and M be a proper cocompact even-dimensional G -manifold with orbifold quotient $\bar{M}$. In the literature, orbifolds which can be represented as a global quotient of a smooth manifold by a proper action of a discrete group are often called good orbifolds.

Let D be a G -invariant Dirac operator on M acting on sections of a G -equivariant $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ -graded Dirac bundle $F \rightarrow M$. It induces an equivariant K -homology class $[D] \in K_0^G(M)$ and an orbifold Dirac operator $\bar{D}$ on $\bar{M}$ with index $\text{index}(\bar{D}) \in \mathbb{Z}$. In the following we briefly describe these objects.

We can identify $\bar{D}$ with the restriction of D to the subspace of G -invariant sections $C^\infty(M, F)^G$. The operator $\bar{D}$ is an example of an elliptic operator on an orbifold. Index theory for elliptic operators on orbifolds has been started with [Kaw81] (see also [Kaw79], [Kaw78] for special cases, and [Far92b], [Far92c], [Far92a] for alternative approaches). In particular, we have $\dim \ker(\bar{D}) < \infty$, and we can define

$$\text{index}(\bar{D}) := \dim \ker(\bar{D}^+) - \dim \ker(\bar{D}^-) .$$

In the present paper we use the analytic definition of equivariant K -homology using equivariant KK -theory

$$K^G(M) := KK^G(C_0(M), \mathbb{C}) .$$

The class $[D] \in KK^G(C_0(M), \mathbb{C})$ is represented by the Kasparov module $(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{F})$ with $\mathcal{E} := L^2(M, F)$ and $\mathcal{F} := D(D^2 + 1)^{-1/2}$ (see Subsection 2.1 for more details).

Let $C^*(G)$ denote the unreduced group C^* -algebra of G . In general, the theory of the present paper would not work with the reduced group C^* -algebra $C_r^*(G)$. The key point is that finite-dimensional unitary representations of G extend to representations of $C^*(G)$, but not to $C_r^*(G)$ in general.

We now consider the assembly map

$$ass : K_0^G(M) \rightarrow K_0(C^*(G)) .$$

We use an analytic description of the assembly map which is part of Definition 2.1, and we refer to [MN06], [DL98] and [BM04] for modern treatments of assembly maps in general.

Composing the assembly map with the homomorphism $I_1 : K_0(C^*(G)) \rightarrow K_0(\mathbb{C}) \cong \mathbb{Z}$ given by the representation $1 : C^*(G) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ induced from the trivial representation of G we define

$$\text{index}([D]) := I_1 \circ \text{ass}([D]) \in \mathbb{Z} .$$

As a special case of the first main result Theorem 2.2 we get the equality

$$\text{index}(\bar{D}) = \text{index}([D]) . \quad (1)$$

Theorem 2.2 deals with the slightly more general case where the trivial representation *triv* of G is replaced by an arbitrary finite-dimensional unitary representation of G . We think, that equation (1) was known to specialists, at least as a folklore fact.

The next result of the present paper is a nice local formula for $\text{index}([D])$. The main feature of local index theory is that one can calculate the index of a Dirac operator on a closed smooth manifold in terms of an integral of a local index form. A standard reference for local index theory is the book [BGV92]. Local index theory generalizes to Dirac operators on orbifolds. The index formulas in [Kaw81] and [Far92b] express the index of the Dirac operator on the orbifold as a sum of integrals of local index forms over the various strata. In the case of a good orbifold $G \backslash M$ the strata correspond to the fixed point manifolds M^g of the elements $g \in G$. There are various ways to organize these contributions. For the purpose of the present paper we need a formula which expresses the index as a sum of contributions associated to the conjugacy classes of finite cyclic subgroups of G . We will state this formula in Corollary 2.4 (we refrain from giving a detailed statement here since this would require the introduction of too much of notation). In principle one could deduce the formula given in Corollary 2.4 by reorganising the previous results [Kaw81] and [Far92b]. But we found it simpler to prove the formula directly using the heat equation approach to local index theory and the local calculations from equivariant index theory [BGV92].

The proper cocompact G -manifold M can be given the structure of a finite G -CW-complex. The equivariant K -homology of proper G -CW-complexes has been studied intensively in connection with the Baum-Connes conjecture. Rationally, $K^G(M)$ decomposes as a sum of contributions of conjugacy classes (C) of finite cyclic subgroups $C \subset G$ (see (7) for a detailed statement). This decomposition is a consequence of a result of [Lüc02b] which is finer since it only requires to invert the primes dividing the orders of the finite subgroups of G . We thus can write $[D]$ as a sum of contributions $[D](C)$ where (C) runs over the set of conjugacy classes of finite cyclic subgroups of G . Our last result Theorem 4.3 is the calculation of $[D](C)$. In the proof we use the index formula Corollary 2.4 as follows. By a result of [LO01b] the equivariant K -theory $K_G^0(M)$ has a description in terms of finite-dimensional G -equivariant vector bundles $E \rightarrow M$. We first derive a cohomological index formula Theorem 4.1 for the pairing of a K -homology class coming

from a finite cyclic subgroup $C \subset G$ with the class $[E] \in K_G^0(M)$. In the proof we use the relation (1).

We then observe that the pairing of $[D]$ with $[E]$ is the index of the twisted operator $[D_E]$ which can be written as a sum of contributions of conjugacy classes of finite subgroups by 2.4. We obtain $[D](C)$ be a comparison of the formulas in Theorem 4.1 and Corollary 2.4 and variation of E .

Acknowledgement: The first version of this paper was written in spring 2001. I want to thank W. Lück for his motivating interest in this work, and Th. Schick for pointing out a small mistake ¹ in the previous version.

2 Assembly and orbifold index

2.1 The equivariant K -homology class of an invariant Dirac operator

Let G be a countable discrete group. Let M be a smooth proper cocompact G -manifold, i.e. a G -manifold such that the stabilizer G_x is finite for all $x \in M$, and $G \backslash M$ is compact. We further assume that M is equipped with a complete G -invariant Riemannian metric g^M and a G -homogeneous Dirac bundle $(F, \nabla^F, \circ, (\cdot, \cdot)_F)$. Here $\circ : TM \otimes F \rightarrow F$ is the Clifford multiplication, ∇^F is a Clifford connection, $(\cdot, \cdot)_F$ is the hermitian scalar product, and these structures satisfy the usual compatibility conditions (see [BGV92], Ch.3) and are, in addition, G -invariant.

For simplicity we assume that $\dim(M)$ is even and that the Dirac bundle is $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ -graded. In fact, the odd-dimensional case can easily be reduced to the even dimensional case by taking the product with S^1 .

We use equivariant KK -theory in order to define equivariant K -homology. Thus let KK^G be the equivariant KK -theory introduced in [Kas88] (see also [Bla98]). Let $C_0(M)$ be the G - C^* -algebra of continuous functions on M vanishing at infinity. Then by definition $K_0^G(M) = KK^G(C_0(M), \mathbb{C})$. The Dirac operator D associated to the invariant Dirac bundle F induces a class $[D] \in K_0^G(M)$ as follows. We form the $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ -graded G -Hilbert space $\mathcal{E} := L^2(M, F)$. Then $C_0(M)$ acts on $\mathcal{E}$ by multiplication. Furthermore, we consider the bounded G -invariant operator $\mathcal{F} := D(D^2 + 1)^{-1/2}$ which is defined by applying the function calculus to the unique (see [Che73]) selfadjoint extension of D . Then $[D]$ is represented by the Kasparov module $(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{F})$.

¹The factor $\frac{1}{\text{ord}(g)}$ in (2.3) was missing.

2.2 Descent and index

Let $C^*(G)$ denote the (non-reduced) group C^* -algebra of G . It has the universal property, that any unitary representation of G extends to representation of $C^*(G)$. In particular, if $\rho : G \rightarrow U(V_\rho)$ is an unitary representation of G on a finite-dimensional Hilbert space V_ρ , then there is an extension $\rho : C^*(G) \rightarrow \text{End}(V_\rho)$. On the level of K -theory it induces a homomorphism (using Morita invariance and $K_0(\mathbb{C}) \cong \mathbb{Z}$) $I_\rho : K_0(C^*(G)) \rightarrow K_0(\text{End}(V_\rho)) \cong \mathbb{Z}$. In particular, if $\rho = 1$ is the trivial representation, then we also write $I := I_1$. Note that I_ρ can be written as a Kapsarov product $\otimes_{C^*(G)}[\rho]$, where $[\rho] \in KK(C^*(G), \text{End}(V(\rho)))$ is represented by the Kasparov module $(V_\rho, 0)$.

Let $C^*(G, C_0(M))$ be the (non-reduced) cross product of G with $C_0(M)$. Then there is the descent homomorphism $j^G : K_0^G(M) \cong KK^G(C_0(M), \mathbb{C}) \rightarrow KK(C^*(G, C_0(M)), C^*(G))$ introduced in [Kas88], 3.11. Following [GHT00] we choose any cut-off function $\chi \in C_c^\infty(M)$ with values in $[0, 1]$ such that $\sum_{g \in G} g^* \chi^2 \equiv 1$. Then we define the projection $P \in C^*(G, C_0(M))$ by $P(g) = (g^{-1})^* \chi \chi$. Let $[P] \in K_0(C^*(G, C_0(M))) \cong KK(\mathbb{C}, C^*(G, C_0(M)))$ be the class induced by P , which is independent of the choice of χ .

Definition 2.1 We define $\text{index}_\rho : K_0^G(M) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ to be the composition

$$K_0^G(M) \xrightarrow{j^G} KK(C^*(G, C_0(M)), C^*(G)) \xrightarrow{[P] \otimes_{C^*(G, C_0(M))}} KK(\mathbb{C}, C^*(G, C_0(M))) \xrightarrow{I_\rho} \mathbb{Z}.$$

In particular, we set $\text{index} := \text{index}_1$.

2.3 Index and Orbifold index

The quotient $\bar{M} := G \backslash M$ is a smooth compact orbifold carrying an orbifold Dirac bundle $\bar{F} := G \backslash F$ with associated orbifold Dirac operator $\bar{D}$. In our case the space of smooth sections $C^\infty(\bar{M}, \bar{F})$ can be identified with the G -invariant sections $C^\infty(M, F)^G$. Then $\bar{D}$ coincides with the restriction of D to this subspace. It is well-known that $\dim(\ker \bar{D}) < \infty$ so that we can define the index $\text{index}(\bar{D}) := \dim_s \ker(\bar{D}) \in \mathbb{Z}$, where the subscript "s" indicates that we take the super dimension.

If $\rho : G \rightarrow U(V_\rho)$ is a finite-dimensional unitary representation of G , then we define the orbifold bundle $\bar{V}(\rho) := G \backslash M \times V_\rho$ and let $\bar{D}_\rho$ be the twisted operator associated to $\bar{F} \otimes \bar{V}(\rho)$. The space $C^\infty(\bar{M}, \bar{F} \otimes \bar{V}(\rho))$ can be identified with $(C^\infty(M, F) \otimes V_\rho)^G$ such that $\bar{D}_\rho$ is the restriction of $D \otimes 1$ to this subspace. Still we can define $\text{index}(\bar{D}_\rho)$.

Theorem 2.2 $\text{index}(\bar{D}_\rho) = \text{index}_\rho([D])$

Proof. We first apply j^G to the Kasparov module $(L^2(M, F), \mathcal{F})$ representing $[D]$. According to [Kas88], 3.11., $j^G([D])$ is represented by $(C^*(G, L^2(M, F)), \tilde{\mathcal{F}})$, where $C^*(G, L^2(M, F))$

is a $C^*(G)$ -right-module admitting a left action by $C^*(G, C_0(M))$. It is a closure of the space of finitely supported functions $f : G \rightarrow L^2(M, F)$. The operator $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$ is given by $(\tilde{\mathcal{F}}f)(g) = (\mathcal{F}f)(g)$. The $C^*(G)$ -valued scalar product is given by $\langle f_1, f_2 \rangle(g) = \sum_{h \in G} \langle f_1(h), f_2(hg) \rangle$. Furthermore, the left action of $C^*(G, C_0(M))$ is given by $(\phi f)(g) = \sum_{h \in G} \phi(h)(hf)(g)$.

Using associativity of the Kasparov product we can compute index_ρ by first applying $\otimes_{C^*(G)}[\rho]$ and then $[P] \otimes_{C^*(G, C_0(M))}$. Using that $C^*(G, L^2(M, F)) \otimes_{C^*(G)} V_\rho \cong L^2(M, F) \otimes V_\rho$ by $f \otimes v \mapsto \sum_{g \in G} f(g)\rho(g)v$ we conclude that $j^G([D]) \otimes_{C^*(G)} [\rho]$ is represented by the Kasparov module $(L^2(M, F) \otimes V_\rho, \hat{\mathcal{F}})$, where $\hat{\mathcal{F}} = \mathcal{F} \otimes \text{id}_{V_\rho}$. The left-action of $C^*(G, C_0(M))$ is given by $(\phi f) = \sum_{h \in G} \phi(h)(h \otimes \rho(h))f$.

Finally we compute $[P] \otimes_{C^*(G, C_0(M))} (j^G([D]) \otimes_{C^*(G)} [\rho])$. We represent $[P]$ by the Kasparov module $(PC^*(G, C_0(M)), 0)$. We must understand $PC^*(G, C_0(M)) \otimes_{C_0(M)} (L^2(M, F) \otimes V_\rho)$.

There is a natural unitary inclusion $L : L^2(\bar{M}, \bar{F} \otimes \bar{V}(\rho)) \hookrightarrow L^2(M, F) \otimes V_\rho$. If $f \in L^2(\bar{M}, \bar{F} \otimes \bar{V}(\rho))$ is considered as an element $\hat{f}$ of $(L^2_{\text{loc}}(M, F) \times V_\rho)^G$ in the natural way, then $L(f) := \chi \hat{f}$. The projection LL^* onto the range of L is given by

$$LL^*(f) = \sum_{g \in G} (g^{-1})^* \chi g f.$$

It now follows from the definition of P that

$$\begin{aligned} PC^*(G, C_0(M)) \otimes_{C^*(G, C_0(M))} (L^2(M, F) \otimes V_\rho) &= P(L^2(M, F) \otimes V_\rho) \\ &\stackrel{L^*}{\cong} L^2(\bar{M}, \bar{F} \otimes \bar{V}(\rho)) \end{aligned}$$

The operator $\bar{D}$ has a natural selfadjoint extension (also denoted by $\bar{D}$ such that we can form $\bar{\mathcal{F}} := \bar{D}(1 + \bar{D}^2)^{-1/2}$. We claim that $[P] \otimes_{C^*(G, C_0(M))} (j^G([D]) \otimes_{C^*(G)} [\rho])$ is represented by the Kasparov module $(L^2(\bar{M}, \bar{F} \otimes \bar{V}(\rho)), \bar{\mathcal{F}})$. The assertion of the Theorem immediately follows from the claim. In order to show the claim we employ the characterization of the Kasparov product in terms of connections (see [Kas88], 2.10). In our situation we have only to show that $\bar{\mathcal{F}}$ is a $\hat{\mathcal{F}}$ -connection.

For Hilbert- C^* -modules X, Y over some C^* -algebra A let $L(X, Y)$ and $K(X, Y)$ denote the spaces of bounded and compact adjointable A -linear operators (see [Bla98] for definitions). For $\xi \in PC^*(G, C_0(M))$ we define $\theta_\xi \in L(L^2(M, F) \otimes V_\rho, PL^2(M, F) \otimes V_\rho)$ by $\theta_\xi(f) = \xi f$. Since $\mathcal{F}$ and $\bar{\mathcal{F}}$ are selfadjoint we only must show that $\theta_\xi \circ \hat{\mathcal{F}} - (L\bar{\mathcal{F}}L^*) \circ \theta_\xi \in K(L^2(M, F) \otimes V_\rho, PL^2(M, F) \otimes V_\rho)$. We have $\xi \hat{\mathcal{F}} - (L\bar{\mathcal{F}}L^*)\xi = [\xi, \hat{\mathcal{F}}] + (\hat{\mathcal{F}} - L\bar{\mathcal{F}}L^*)P\xi$. Since $[\xi, \hat{\mathcal{F}}]$ is compact it suffices to show that $(\hat{\mathcal{F}} - L\bar{\mathcal{F}}L^*)P$ is compact. We consider $\tilde{D} := (1 - P)D(1 - P) + L\bar{D}L^*$. Then we have $\tilde{D} = D + Q$, where Q is a zero order non-local operator. Let $\tilde{\mathcal{F}} := \tilde{D}(1 + \tilde{D}^2)^{-1/2}$. Then $(\hat{\mathcal{F}} - L\bar{\mathcal{F}}L^*)P = (\hat{\mathcal{F}} - \tilde{\mathcal{F}})P$. Let $\tilde{\chi} \in C_c^\infty(M)$ be such that $\chi\tilde{\chi} = \chi$. Then we have $(\hat{\mathcal{F}} - \tilde{\mathcal{F}})P = (\hat{\mathcal{F}} - \tilde{\mathcal{F}})\tilde{\chi}P$. Therefore it

suffices to show that $(\hat{\mathcal{F}} - \tilde{\mathcal{F}})\tilde{\chi}$ is compact. This can be done using the integral representations for $\hat{\mathcal{F}}$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$ as in [Bun95]. $\square$

2.4 The local index theorem

In this the present subsection we derive a local index theorem which is a formula for $\text{index}_\rho([D])$ in terms of integrals of characteristic forms over the various singular strata of $\bar{M}$.

Let $W \in C^\infty(M \times M, F \boxtimes F^*)^G$ be an invariant section which satisfies an estimate

$$|W(x, y)| \leq C \exp(-c \text{dist}(x, y)^2) \quad (2)$$

for some $c > 0$, $C < \infty$. Since $\bar{M}$ is compact the manifold M has bounded geometry, and in particular, it has at most exponential volume growth. Therefore, W defines an integral operator $\bar{W}$ on $L^2(\bar{M}, \bar{F} \otimes \bar{V}_\rho)$ by

$$\bar{W}f(x) := \int_M (W(x, y) \otimes \text{id}_{V_\rho}) f(y) dy .$$

This operator is in fact of trace class. We claim that

$$\text{Tr } \bar{W} = \int_{\bar{M}} \sum_{g \in G} \text{tr}(W(x, gx)g_x) dx \text{tr} \rho(g) , \quad (3)$$

where g_x denotes the linear map $g_x : F_x \rightarrow F_{gx}$. In order to see the claim note that $\text{Tr } \bar{W} = \text{Tr } L\bar{W}\bar{L}^*$, and $R := L\bar{W}\bar{L}^*$ is the integral operator on $L^2(M, F) \otimes V_\rho$ given by the integral kernel $R(x, y) = \sum_{g \in G} \chi(x)W(x, gy)g_y\chi(y) \otimes \rho(g)$.

Again, since M and F have bounded geometry the heat kernel W_t , $t > 0$, i.e. the integral kernel of $\exp(-tD^2)$, satisfies the Gaussian estimate (2). Moreover, $\bar{W}_t$ is precisely $\exp(-t\bar{D}^2)$. By the McKean-Singer formula we have

$$\text{index}(\bar{D}_\rho) = \text{Tr}_s \bar{W}_t$$

for any $t > 0$, where Tr_s is the super trace. We obtain the local index formula by evaluating $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \text{Tr}_s \bar{W}_t$.

If $g \in G$, then let M^g denote the fixed point submanifold of g . If $M^g \neq \emptyset$, then g is of finite order. Furthermore, let $Z_G(g)$ denote the centralizer of g in G . Then $Z_G(g) \backslash M^g$ is compact. For $g \in G$ let $(g) \in C(G)$ denote the conjugacy class of g , where $C(G)$ denotes the set of conjugacy classes. By $\mathcal{F}(G)$ we denote the set of elements of finite order, and by $\mathcal{FC}(G)$ we denote the set of conjugacy classes of G of finite order.

The formula (3) can be rewritten as follows.

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{Tr}_s \bar{W} &= \int_{\bar{M}} \sum_{g \in G} \mathrm{tr}_s(W(x, gx)g_x) dx \, \mathrm{tr} \rho(g) \\
&= \sum_{(g) \in C(G)} \int_{G \setminus M} \sum_{h \in Z_G(g) \setminus G} \mathrm{tr}_s(W(x, hgh^{-1}x)(hgh^{-1})_x) dx \, \mathrm{tr} \rho(hgh^{-1}) \\
&= \sum_{(g) \in C(G)} \int_{Z_G(g) \setminus M} \mathrm{tr}_s(W(x, gx)g_x) dx \, \mathrm{tr} \rho(g) .
\end{aligned}$$

If $W = W_t$ is the heat kernel, then due to the usual gaussian estimates the integral $\int_{Z_G(g) \setminus M} \mathrm{tr}_s(W(x, gx)g_x) dx$ localizes at $Z_G(g) \setminus M^g$ as $t \rightarrow 0$. There is a $Z_G(g)$ -invariant density $U(g) \in C^\infty(M^g, |\Lambda^{max}| T^* M^g)^{Z_G(g)}$ which is locally determined by the Riemannian structure g^M and the Dirac bundle F such that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_{Z_G(g) \setminus M} \mathrm{tr}_s(W_t(x, gx)g_x) dx = \frac{1}{\mathrm{ord}(g)} \int_{Z_G(g) \setminus M^g} U(g) .$$

An explicit formula for $U(g)$ is given in [BGV92], Ch. 6.4, and it will be recalled below. We conclude that

$$\mathrm{index}_\rho([D]) = \sum_{(g) \in C\mathcal{F}(G)} \frac{1}{\mathrm{ord}(g)} \int_{Z_G(g) \setminus M^g} U(g) \mathrm{tr} \rho(g) .$$

The fixed point manifold M^g is a totally geodesic Riemannian submanifold of M with induced metric g^{M^g} . Let R^{M^g} denote its curvature tensor. We define the form $\hat{\mathbf{A}}(M^g) \in \Omega(M^g, \mathrm{Or}(M^g))$ by

$$\hat{\mathbf{A}}(M^g) = \det^{1/2} \left(\frac{R^{M^g}/4\pi i}{\sinh(R^{M^g}/4\pi i)} \right) ,$$

where $\mathrm{Or}(M^g)$ denote the orientation bundle (the orientation bundle occurs since we must choose an orientation in order to define $\det^{1/2}$).

Furthermore, we define the G -equivariant bundle $F/S := \mathrm{End}_{\mathrm{Cliff}(TM)}(F)$. It comes with a natural connection $\nabla^{F/S}$. By $R^{F/S}$ we denote its curvature. Following [BGV92], 6.13, we define the form $\mathbf{ch}(g, F/S) \in \Omega(M^g, \Lambda^{max} N \otimes \mathrm{Or}(M))$ by

$$\mathbf{ch}(g, F/S) = \frac{2^{\mathrm{codim}_M(M^g)}}{\sqrt{\det(1 - g^N)}} \mathrm{str}(\sigma_{\mathrm{codim}_M(M^g)}(g^F) \exp(-R_0^{F/S}/2\pi i)) .$$

Here g^N is the restriction of g to the normal bundle N of M^g . Note that $\det(1 - g^N) > 0$ so that $\sqrt{\det(1 - g^N)}$ is well-defined. Furthermore g^F is the action of g on the fibre of $F|_{M^g}$. Since g^F commutes with $\mathrm{Cliff}(TM^g)$ it corresponds to an element of $\mathrm{Cliff}(N) \otimes \mathrm{End}_{\mathrm{Cliff}(M)}(F)$. $\sigma_{\mathrm{codim}_M(M^g)} : \mathrm{Cliff}(N) \rightarrow \Lambda^{max} N$ is the symbol map so

that $\sigma_{\text{codim}_M(M^g)} g^F \in \text{End}_{\text{Cliff}(M)}(F) \otimes \Lambda^{\max} N$. Furthermore, the restriction $R_0^{F/S}$ of the curvature $R^{F/S}$ to M^g is a section of $\Omega(M^g, \text{End}_{\text{Cliff}(M)}(F)|_{M^g})$. The super trace $\text{str} : \text{End}_{\text{Cliff}(M)}(F) \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \otimes \text{Or}(M)$ is defined by $\text{str}(W) = \text{tr}_s(\Gamma W)$, where $\Gamma = i^{n/2} \text{vol}_M$ is the chirality operator defined using the orientation of M .

Let $T_N : \Lambda^{\max} N \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \otimes \text{Or}(N)$ be the normal Beresin integral, where $\text{Or}(N)$ is the bundle of normal orientations. Then we have

$$U(g) := [T_N(\frac{\hat{\mathbf{A}}(M^g) \mathbf{ch}(g, F/S)}{\det^{1/2}(1 - g^N \exp(-R^N/2\pi i))})]_{\max}.$$

Here R^N is the curvature tensor of N , $\frac{1}{\det^{1/2}(1 - g^N \exp(-R^N))} \in \Omega(M^g, \text{Or}(M^g))$, and $[\cdot]_{\max}$ takes the part of maximal degree. In order to interpret the right-hand side as a density on M^g we identify $\Lambda^{\max} T^* M^g \otimes \text{Or}(M^g)^2 \otimes \text{Or}(N) \otimes \text{Or}(M)$ with $|\Lambda^{\max} T^* M^g|$ in the canonical way.

Theorem 2.3

$$\text{index}_\rho([D]) = \sum_{(g) \in C\mathcal{F}(G)} \frac{\text{tr}\rho(g)}{\text{ord}(g)} \int_{Z_G(g) \backslash M^g} [T_N(\frac{\hat{\mathbf{A}}(M^g) \mathbf{ch}(g, F/S)}{\det^{1/2}(1 - g^N \exp(-R^N/2\pi i))})]_{\max}$$

2.5 Cyclic subgroups

We now reformulate the local index theorem in terms of contributions of conjugacy classes of cyclic subgroups. Let $\mathcal{FCyc}(G)$ denote the set of finite cyclic subgroups. If $C \in \mathcal{FCyc}(G)$, then let $\text{gen}(C)$ denote the set of its generators. The normalizer $N_G(C)$ and the Weyl group $W_G(C) := N_G(C)/Z_G(C)$ acts on $\text{gen}(C)$. There is a natural map $p : \mathcal{F}(G) \rightarrow \mathcal{FCyc}(G)$, $g \mapsto \langle g \rangle$ which factors over conjugacy classes $\bar{p} : C\mathcal{F}(G) \rightarrow C\mathcal{FCyc}(G)$. If $(C) \in C\mathcal{FCyc}(G)$, then $\bar{p}^{-1}(C)$ can be identified with $W_G(C) \backslash \text{gen}(C)$.

Note that $M^g = M^{\langle g \rangle}$, i.e. it only depends on the cyclic subgroup generated by g . Similarly, $Z_G(g) = Z_G(\langle g \rangle)$. So we obtain

Corollary 2.4

$$\text{index}_\rho([D]) = \sum_{(C) \in C\mathcal{FCyc}(G)} \frac{1}{|C|} \sum_{g \in W_G(C) \backslash \text{gen}(C)} \int_{Z_G(C) \backslash M^C} U(g) \text{tr}\rho(g)$$

2.6 Cap product and twisting

We define $K_G^0(M) := KK^G(\mathbb{C}, C_0(M))$. If E is a G -equivariant complex vector bundle, then let $[E] \in K_G^0(M)$ denote the class represented by the Kasparov module $(C_0(M, E), 0)$, where we define the $C_0(M)$ -valued scalar product on $C_0(M, E)$ after choosing a G -invariant hermitean metric $(\cdot, \cdot)_E$.

Since $C_0(M)$ is commutative any right $C_0(M)$ -module is a left- $C_0(M)$ -module in a natural way. If we apply this to Kasparaov modules we obtain a map

$$a : KK^G(\mathbb{C}, C_0(M)) \rightarrow KK^G(C_0(M), C_0(M)) .$$

Definition 2.5 *The cap-product $K_G^0(M) \otimes K_0^G(M) \rightarrow K_0^G(M)$ is defined by*

$$v \cap x := a(v) \otimes_{C_0(M)} x .$$

If we choose on $(E, (.,.))$ a hermitian connection ∇^E , then we can form the twisted Dirac bundle $E \otimes F$ with associated Dirac operator D_E . The following fact is well-known. An elementary proof (for trivial G) can be found e.g. in [Bun95].

Proposition 2.6 $[D_E] = [E] \cap [D]$

2.7 A cohomological index formula for twisted operators

Let R^E denote the curvature of the connection ∇^E . For a finite cyclic subgroup $C \subset G$ let R_0^E denote the restriction of R^E to M^C . If $g \in \text{gen}(C)$, then we have

$$\mathbf{ch}(g, E \otimes F/S) = \mathbf{ch}(g, F/S) \cup \mathbf{ch}(g, E) ,$$

where $\mathbf{ch}(g, E) = \text{tr} g^E \exp(-R_0^E/2\pi i)$. Here g^E denotes the action of g on the fibre of E . Thus we can write

$$U_E(g) := [T_N(\frac{\hat{\mathbf{A}}(M^g)\mathbf{ch}(g, F/S) \cup \mathbf{ch}(g, E)}{\det^{1/2}(1 - g^N \exp(-R^N/2\pi i))})]_{\max} .$$

We can write $U_E(g) = [\hat{U}(g) \cap \mathbf{ch}(g, E)]_{\max}$, where

$$\hat{U}(g) = T_N(\frac{\hat{\mathbf{A}}(M^g)\mathbf{ch}(g, F/S)}{\det^{1/2}(1 - g^N \exp(-R^N/2\pi i))}) . \quad (4)$$

The cohomology $H^*(Z_G(C) \backslash M^C, \mathbb{C})$ of the orbifold $Z_G(C) \backslash M^C$ can be computed using the complex of invariant differential forms $(\Omega^*(M^C)^{Z_G(C)}, d)$. Furthermore, the homology $H_*(Z_G(C) \backslash M^C, \mathbb{C})$ can be identified with the dual of the cohomology, i.e. $H_*(Z_G(C) \backslash M^C, \mathbb{C}) \cong H^*(Z_G(C) \backslash M^C, \mathbb{C})^*$. The closed form $\hat{U}(g) \in \Omega^*(M^g, \text{Or})$ now defines a homology class $[\hat{U}(g)] \in H_*(Z_G(C) \backslash M^C, \mathbb{C})$ such that $[\hat{U}(g)]([\omega]) = \int_{Z_G(C) \backslash M^C} [\hat{U}(g) \cap \omega]_{\max}$ for any closed form $\omega \in \Omega^*(M^C)^{Z_G(C)}$.

Let $[\mathbf{ch}(g, E)] \in H^*(Z_G(C) \backslash M^C, \mathbb{C})$ denote the cohomology class represented by the closed form $\mathbf{ch}(g, E)$.

Theorem 2.7

$$\text{index}_\rho([E] \cap [D]) = \sum_{(C) \in C\mathcal{F}C_{\text{yc}}(G)} \frac{1}{|C|} \sum_{g \in W_G(C) \backslash \text{gen}(C)} \langle [\mathbf{ch}(g, E)], [\hat{U}(g)] \rangle \text{tr} \rho(g)$$

3 Chern characters

3.1 The cohomological Chern character

In this Subsection we review the construction of the Chern character given in [LO01a]. There the equivariant K -theory is introduced using a classifying space $\mathbf{K}_G\mathbb{C}$. If X is a proper G -CW complex, then $\mathbf{K}_G^0(X) := [X, \mathbf{K}_G\mathbb{C}]_G$, where $[\cdot, \cdot]_G$ denotes the set of homotopy classes of equivariant maps.

Let $\mathbb{K}_G(X)$ be the Grothendieck group of G -equivariant complex vector bundles. Then there is a natural homomorphism $b : \mathbb{K}_G(X) \rightarrow \mathbf{K}_G^0(X)$, which is an isomorphism if X is finite ([LO01a], Prop. 1.5).

If H is a finite group, then let $R_{\mathbb{C}}(H)$ denote the complex representation ring of H with complex coefficients. The character gives a natural identification of $R_{\mathbb{C}}(H)$ with the space of complex-valued class functions on H , i.e. $\mathbb{C}(C(H))$.

Since we want to work with differential forms later on we simplify matters by working with complex coefficients (the constructions in [LO01a] are finer since they work over $\mathbb{Q}$). For any finite subgroup $H \subset G$ the construction [LO01a], (5.4), provides a homomorphism

$$\mathbf{ch}_X^H : K_G^0(X) \rightarrow H^*(Z_G(H) \backslash X^H) \otimes \mathbb{C}(C(H)) .$$

For our purpose it suffices to understand $\mathbf{ch}_X^H(b(\{E\}))$, where E is a G -equivariant complex vector bundle over X , and $\{E\}$ denotes its class in $\mathbb{K}_G(X)$. First of all note that $E|_{X^H}$ is a $N_G(H)$ -equivariant bundle over X^H . We can further write $E|_{X^H} = \sum_{\phi \in \hat{H}} \text{Hom}_H(V_{\phi}, E|_{X^H}) \otimes V_{\phi}$, where $\text{Hom}_H(V_{\phi}, E|_{X^H})$ is a $Z_G(H)$ -equivariant bundle over X^H . We therefore obtain an element of $\mathbb{K}_{Z_G(H)}^0(X^H) \otimes R(H)$. We now apply the composition

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{K}_{Z_G(H)}^0(X^H) &\xrightarrow{\text{pr}^*} \mathbb{K}_{Z_G(H)}^0(EG \times X^H) \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathbb{K}_1^0(EG \times_{Z_G(H)} X^H) \\ &\xrightarrow{\mathbf{ch}} H^*(EG \times_{Z_G(H)} X^H, \mathbb{C}) \xrightarrow{(\text{pr}^*)^{-1}} H^*(Z_G(H) \backslash X^H, \mathbb{C}) \end{aligned}$$

to the first component, and the character $R(H) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}(C(H))$ to the second. The result belongs to $H^*(Z_G(H) \backslash X^H, \mathbb{C}) \otimes \mathbb{C}(C(H))$ and is $\mathbf{ch}_X^H(b(\{E\}))$.

If C is a finite cyclic subgroup, then let $r : \mathbb{C}(C(C)) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}(\text{gen}(C))$ be the restriction map. Note that $W_G(C)$ acts on $\mathbb{C}(\text{gen}(C))$ as well as on $H^*(Z_G(C) \backslash X^C, \mathbb{C})$. The result [LO01a], Lemma 5.6, now asserts that if X is finite, then

$$\prod_{(C) \in C\mathcal{F}Cyc(G)} (1 \otimes r) \mathbf{ch}_X^C : \mathbf{K}_G^0(X)_{\mathbb{C}} \rightarrow \prod_{(C) \in C\mathcal{F}Cyc(G)} (H^{ev}(Z_G(C) \backslash X^C, \mathbb{C}) \otimes \mathbb{C}(\text{gen}(C)))^{W_G(C)} \quad (5)$$

is an isomorphism.

3.2 Differential forms

In the present subsection we give a description of the equivariant Chern character using differential forms. Let M be a smooth proper G -manifold and E be a G -equivariant complex vector bundle over M . Then we can find a G -invariant hermitian metric $(\cdot, \cdot)_E$ and a G -invariant metric connection ∇^E . Let R^E denote the curvature of ∇^E . We define the closed G -invariant form $\mathbf{ch}(E) \in \Omega(M)^G$ by $\mathbf{ch}(E) := \text{tr} \exp(-R^E/2\pi i)$. It represents a cohomology class $[\mathbf{ch}(E)] \in H^*(G \backslash M, \mathbb{C})$. Furthermore, we have the class $\mathbf{ch}_M^{\{1\}}(b(\{E\}))$, which is given by the following composition

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{K}_G^0(M) &\xrightarrow{\text{pr}_1^*} \mathbb{K}_G^0(EG \times M) \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathbb{K}_1^0(EG \times_G M) \\ &\xrightarrow{\mathbf{ch}} H^*(EG \times_G M, \mathbb{C}) \xrightarrow{(\text{pr}_2^*)^{-1}} H^*(G \backslash M, \mathbb{C}). \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

Lemma 3.1 $[\mathbf{ch}(E)] = \mathbf{ch}_M^{\{1\}}(b(\{E\}))$

Proof. We show that $\mathbf{ch}_M^{\{1\}}(b(\{E\}))$ can be represented by the form $\mathbf{ch}(E)$. To do so we employ an approximation $j : \tilde{E}G \rightarrow EG$, where $\tilde{E}G$ is a free G -manifold and the G -map j is $\dim(M) + 1$ -connected. This existence of such approximations will be shown in Subsection 3.3. Then we can define $\mathbf{ch}_M^{\{1\}}(b(\{E\}))$ by (6) but with EG replaced by $\tilde{E}G$. It is now clear that $\text{pr}_2^* \mathbf{ch}(E) = \mathbf{ch}(G \backslash \text{pr}_1^* E)$. $\square$

Let $C \subset G$ be a finite cyclic subgroup. Furthermore, let $[\mathbf{ch}(g, E)] \in H^*(Z_G(C) \backslash M^C, \mathbb{C})$ denote the cohomology class represented by $\mathbf{ch}(g, E)$. The function $\text{gen}(C) \ni g \mapsto [\mathbf{ch}(g, E)]$ can naturally be considered as an element $[\mathbf{ch}(\cdot, E)] \in H^*(Z_G(C) \backslash M^C, \mathbb{C}) \otimes \mathbb{C}(\text{gen}(C))$ which is in fact $W_G(C)$ -equivariant.

Proposition 3.2 $[\mathbf{ch}(\cdot, E)] = (1 \otimes r) \mathbf{ch}_M^C(b(\{E\}))$.

Proof. First of all note that R_0^E is the curvature of $E|_{M^C}$. Furthermore, the decomposition $E|_{M^C} = \sum_{\phi \in \hat{C}} E(\phi) \otimes V_\phi$ is preserved by R_0^E , where $E(\phi) = \text{Hom}_C(V_\phi, E|_{M^C})$. Let $R^{E(\phi)}$ be the restriction of the curvature to the subbundle $E(\phi) \otimes V_\phi$. We get for $g \in \text{gen}(C)$

$$\begin{aligned} (1 \otimes r) \mathbf{ch}_M^C(b(\{E\}))(g) &\stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} \sum_{\phi \in \hat{C}} \mathbf{ch}_{M^C}^{\{1\}}(b\{E(\phi)\}) \text{tr} \phi(g) \\ &\stackrel{\text{Lemma 3.1}}{=} \sum_{\phi \in \hat{C}} [\mathbf{ch}(E(\phi))] \text{tr} \phi(g) \\ &= \sum_{\phi \in \hat{C}} [\text{tr} \exp(-R^{E(\phi)}/2\pi i)] \text{tr} \phi(g) \\ &= [\text{tr}^E \exp(-R_0^E/2\pi i)] \\ &= [\mathbf{ch}(g, E)]. \end{aligned}$$

□

3.3 Smooth approximations of CW -complexes

The goal of this subsection is to show that the approximation $j : \tilde{EG} \rightarrow EG$ used in the proof of Lemma 3.1 exists. We start with the following general result.

Proposition 3.3 *If X is a countable finite-dimensional CW -complex, then there exists a smooth manifold M and a homotopy equivalence $M \xrightarrow{\sim} X$.*

Proof. Let X be a finite-dimensional CW -complex. Following [Bro62] we call a manifold with boundary $(\bar{M}, \partial\bar{M})$ a tubular neighbourhood of X if there exists a continuous map $F : \partial\bar{M} \rightarrow X$ such that the underlying topological space of $\bar{M}$ is the mapping cylinder $C(F) = \partial\bar{M} \times [0, 1] \cup_F X$ of F , the inclusion $\partial\bar{M} \times [0, 1) \hookrightarrow \bar{M}$ is smooth, and the inclusion $X \hookrightarrow \bar{M}$ is smooth on each open cell of X .

Let

$$X^0 \subseteq X^1 \subseteq X^2 \subseteq \dots \subseteq X^n = X$$

be the filtration of X by skeletons. We obtain X^{i+1} from X^i by attaching a countable number of $i+1$ -cells.

We will construct a tubular neighbourhood $(\bar{M}, \partial\bar{M})$ of X by induction over the dimension with the special property that the tangent bundle $T\bar{M} \rightarrow \bar{M}$ is trivial. It is clear that the collection of points X^0 admits such a tubular neighbourhood of any given dimension. Let us now assume that there exists an i -dimensional CW -complex Y together with a homotopy equivalence $h : Y \xrightarrow{\sim} X^i$ and a m -dimensional tubular neighbourhood $(W, \partial W)$, $F : \partial W \rightarrow Y$ of Y , such that $m \geq 2n + 3$ and $TW \rightarrow W$ is trivial.

Via a homotopy inverse of h the attaching data for $X^i \subseteq X^{i+1}$ yields the attaching data $\tilde{\chi}_\alpha : S^i \rightarrow Y$, $\alpha \in J$, for a countable collection J of $i+1$ -cells. Let $\tilde{Z}$ be the result of attaching these cells to Y . Then we have a homotopy equivalence $\tilde{Z} \xrightarrow{\sim} X^{i+1}$.

We consider $\mathbb{R}$ with the cell-structure given by its decomposition into unit intervals. The product $(W \times \mathbb{R}, \partial W \times \mathbb{R})$ is a tubular neighbourhood of $Y \times \mathbb{R}$ in a natural way with retraction $F \times \text{id}_{\mathbb{R}} : \partial W \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow Y \times \mathbb{R}$. The projection $Y \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow Y$ is a homotopy equivalence.

We now fix an inclusion $J \subseteq \mathbb{Z}$ and define attaching maps $\chi_\alpha := \tilde{\chi}_\alpha \times \{\alpha\} : S^i \rightarrow Y \times \mathbb{R}$. Let $\hat{Z}$ denote the complex obtained by attaching the cells to $Y \times \mathbb{R}$. Our choice of attaching maps is made such that these $i+1$ -cells are attached to the i -skeleton of Y . We have a homotopy equivalence $\hat{Z} \xrightarrow{\sim} \tilde{Z}$.

In order to improve the attaching maps we argue as in the proof of [Bro62, Theorem II]. Since $2 \dim(Y) + 1 = 2i + 1 \leq 2n + 3 \leq m = \dim(W)$ we can deform the attaching

map $\tilde{\chi}_\alpha$ slightly so that its image is disjoint from Y . To do so we adapt the method of the proof of [Whi55, Theorem 11a], and we use the assumption that the open cells of Y are smoothly embedded. Using the mapping cylinder structure $W \setminus Y \cong \partial W \times [0, 1)$ we can further deform the attaching map such that it maps to ∂W . Finally, using that $2i + 1 \leq \dim(\partial W) = m - 1$ we can deform it to an embedding (see [Whi36, Theorem II]) into ∂W . We still denote this deformed attaching map by $\tilde{\chi}_\alpha$, and we obtain a new deformed map $\chi_\alpha := \tilde{\chi}_\alpha \times \{\alpha\} : S^i \rightarrow \partial W \times \{\alpha\} \subseteq \partial W \times \mathbb{R}$. Since the tangent bundle $T\partial W \rightarrow \partial W$ is trivial, we see that the normal bundle ν_α of the embedding $\tilde{\chi}_\alpha$ is stably trivial. Since $\dim(\nu_\alpha) \geq i + 1$ the bundle ν_α is in fact trivial.

For each $\alpha \in J$ we now perform the procedure of attaching a handle to $W \times \mathbb{R}$ described in [Bro62, Sec .2]. We can arrange the construction such that for $\alpha \in J$ it takes place on $W \times (\alpha - 1/4, \alpha + 1/4)$.

The result of this construction is a manifold with boundary $(N, \partial N)$ of dimension $m + 1$ containing an $i + 1$ -dimensional CW -complex Z , and a map $N \rightarrow Z$ which represents $(N, \partial N)$ as a tubular neighbourhood of Z , and we have a homotopy equivalence $Z \xrightarrow{\sim} \hat{Z}$. The construction depends on the choice of trivializations of the normal bundles ν_α . By Lemma [Bro62, Lemma 2.2] we can choose the trivializations of the normal bundles ν_α such that $TN \rightarrow N$ is again trivial.

After we finite iteration of this construction we obtain a manifold with boundary $(\bar{M}, \partial \bar{M})$ which is a tubular neighbourhood of a CW -complex $\tilde{X}$ which admits a homotopy equivalence $\tilde{h} : \tilde{X} \xrightarrow{\sim} X$. The mapping cylinder structure on $\bar{M}$ gives rise to a projection $p : \bar{M} \rightarrow \tilde{X}$. We now consider the smooth manifold $M := \bar{M} \setminus \partial \bar{M}$. The composition $\tilde{h} \circ p|_M : M \rightarrow X$ is a homotopy equivalence from a smooth manifold to X . $\square$

We can now construct the smooth n -connected approximation $j : \tilde{E}G \rightarrow EG$, where $n \geq 2$. We start with a countable CW -complex BG of the homotopy type of the classifying space of G . For example, we can take the standard simplicial model. It is countable since G is countable.

We consider the n -skeleton $BG^n \subseteq BG$. It is a finite-dimensional countable CW -complex. By Proposition 3.3 we can find a smooth manifold $\tilde{B}G$ together with a homotopy equivalence $\hat{j} : \tilde{B}G \rightarrow BG^n$. Let $\bar{j} : \tilde{B}G \rightarrow BG$ denote the composition of $\hat{j}$ with the inclusion $BG^n \hookrightarrow BG$. By construction $\bar{j}$ is n -connected.

Since $\bar{j}$ induces an isomorphism of fundamental groups it lifts to a n -connected map of universal coverings $j : \tilde{E}G \rightarrow EG$.

3.4 The homological Chern character

In this subsection we review the construction of the homological Chern character given in [Lüc02a], [Lüc02b]. Let X be a proper G -CW-complex. The main constituent of the Chern character is a homomorphism

$$\mathbf{ch}_H^X : H_{ev}(Z_G(H) \backslash X^H, \mathbb{C}) \otimes R_{\mathbb{C}}(H) \rightarrow K_0^G(X)$$

for any finite subgroup $H \subset G$.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H_{ev}(Z_G(H) \backslash X^H, \mathbb{C}) \otimes R_{\mathbb{C}}(H) & \xrightarrow{(\mathrm{pr}_2)_*^{-1} \otimes \mathrm{id}} & H_{ev}(EG \times_{Z_G(H)} X^H, \mathbb{C}) \otimes R_{\mathbb{C}}(H) \\ & \xrightarrow{\mathbf{ch}^{-1} \otimes \mathrm{id}} & K_0(EG \times_{Z_G(H)} X^H)_{\mathbb{C}} \otimes R_{\mathbb{C}}(H) \\ & \xrightarrow{\cong} & K_0^{Z_G(H)}(EG \times X^H)_{\mathbb{C}} \otimes K_0^H(*)_{\mathbb{C}} \\ & \xrightarrow{\mathrm{mult}} & K_0^{Z_G(H) \times H}(EG \times X^H)_{\mathbb{C}} \\ & \xrightarrow{\mathrm{Ind}_{Z_G(H) \times H}^G} & K_0^G(\mathrm{Ind}_{Z_G(H) \times H}^G(EG \times X^H))_{\mathbb{C}} \\ & \xrightarrow{\mathrm{Ind}_{Z_G(H) \times H}^G(\mathrm{pr}_2)_*} & K_0^G(\mathrm{Ind}_{Z_G(H) \times H}^G X^H)_{\mathbb{C}} \\ & \xrightarrow{m_*} & K_0^G(X) \end{array}$$

Here $\mathbf{ch}$ is the homological Chern character, $\mathrm{Ind}_{Z_G(H) \times H}^G$ denotes the induction functor, and $m : \mathrm{Ind}_{Z_G(H) \times H}^G X^H = G \times_{Z_G(H) \times H} X^H \rightarrow X$ is the G -map $(g, x) \mapsto gx$.

Let $C \subset G$ be a finite cyclic subgroup. Then we have a natural inclusion $r^* : \mathbb{C}(\mathrm{gen}(C)) \rightarrow R_{\mathbb{C}}(C) \cong \mathbb{C}C$ such that the image consists of functions which vanish on $C \setminus \mathrm{gen}(C)$. Note that $\mathbb{C}(\mathrm{gen}(C))$ and $H_*(Z_G(H) \backslash X^H, \mathbb{C})$ are left and right $W_G(C)$ -modules in the natural way. It follows from [Lüc02b], Thm. 0.7, that

$$\bigoplus_{(C) \in C\mathcal{F}Cyc(G)} \mathbf{ch}_C^X(1 \otimes r^*) : \bigoplus_{(C) \in C\mathcal{F}Cyc(G)} H_{ev}(Z_G(C) \backslash X^C, \mathbb{C}) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}W_G(C)} \mathbb{C}(\mathrm{gen}(C)) \rightarrow K_0^G(X)_{\mathbb{C}} \quad (7)$$

is an isomorphism.

4 Explicit decomposition of K -homology classes

4.1 An index formula

Let E be a G -equivariant vector bundle over X . If $A \in H_*(Z_G(H) \backslash X^H, \mathbb{C}) \otimes R_{\mathbb{C}}(H)$, then we can ask for a formula for $\mathrm{index}_{\rho}([E] \cap \mathbf{ch}_H^X(A))$ in terms of $\mathbf{ch}_X^H(b(\{E\}))$. Let $\epsilon : R(H) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ be the homomorphism which takes the multiplicity of the trivial representation. It

extends to a group homomorphism $\epsilon_{\mathbb{C}} : R_{\mathbb{C}}(H) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$. Using the ring structure of $R_{\mathbb{C}}(H)$ and the pairing between homology and cohomology we obtain a natural pairing

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\rho} &: (H_*(Z_G(H) \backslash X^H, \mathbb{C}) \otimes R_{\mathbb{C}}(H)) \otimes (H^*(Z_G(H) \backslash X^H, \mathbb{C}) \otimes R_{\mathbb{C}}(H)) \\ &\rightarrow R_{\mathbb{C}}(H) \xrightarrow{\otimes [\rho|_H]} R_{\mathbb{C}}(H) \xrightarrow{\epsilon_{\mathbb{C}}} \mathbb{C}. \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 4.1 $\text{index}_{\rho}([E] \cap \mathbf{ch}_H^X(A)) = \langle \mathbf{ch}_X^H(b(\{E\})), A \rangle_{\rho}$

Proof. Let M be a cocompact free even-dimensional $Z_G(H)$ -manifold equipped with a invariant Riemannian metric and a Dirac operator D associated to a $Z_G(H)$ -equivariant Dirac bundle $F \rightarrow M$. Furthermore, let $f = (f_1, f_2) : M \rightarrow EG \times X^H$ be a $Z_G(H)$ -equivariant continuous map. We form $[D] \in K_0^{Z_G(H)}(M)$ represented by the Kasparov module $(L^2(M, F), \mathcal{F})$. Then $f_*[D] \in K_0^{Z_G(H)}(EG \times X^H)$.

Note that $K_0^{Z_G(H)}(EG \times X^H)_{\mathbb{C}}$ is spanned by elements arising in this form. This can be seen as follows. First observe that every class in $K_0(EG \times_{Z_G(H)} X^H)$ can be represented in the form $\bar{f}_*[\bar{D}]$, where $\bar{f} : \bar{N} \rightarrow EG \times_{Z_G(H)} X^H$ is a map from a closed $Spin^c$ -manifold, and $\bar{D}$ is the $Spin^c$ -Dirac operator on $\bar{N}$. A proof of this result is given in [BHS]. We now consider the pull-back

$$\begin{array}{ccc} N & \xrightarrow{f} & EG \times X^H \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \bar{N} & \xrightarrow{\bar{f}} & EG \times_{Z_G(H)} X^H \end{array}.$$

The manifold N carries a $Z_G(H)$ -invariant $Spin^c$ -structure with associated Dirac operator D . The class $f_*[D]$ corresponds to $\bar{f}_*[\bar{D}]$ under the isomorphism $K_0^{Z_G(H)}(EG \times X^H) \cong K_0^{Z_G(H)}(EG \times_{Z_G(H)} X^H)$.

Let $\phi \in \hat{H}$ be a finite-dimensional representation. It gives rise to an element $[\phi] \in K_0^H(*)_{\mathbb{C}}$ under the natural identification $R_{\mathbb{C}}(H) \cong K_0^H(*)_{\mathbb{C}}$. Let $T : K_0^{Z_G(H)}(EG \times X^H) \otimes K_0^H(*)_{\mathbb{C}} \rightarrow K_0^G(X)$ be the composition $m_* \circ \text{Ind}_{Z_G(H) \times H}^G(\text{pr}_2)_* \circ \text{Ind}_{Z_G(H) \times H}^G \circ \text{mult}$, which is part of the definition of $\mathbf{ch}_H^X$.

We first study $\text{index}_{\rho}([E] \cap T(f_*[D] \otimes [\phi]))$. We have $\text{mult} \circ f_*([D] \otimes [\phi]) = f_* \circ \text{mult}([D] \otimes [\phi])$, and $\text{mult}([D] \otimes [\phi]) \in K_0^{Z_G(H) \times H}(M)$ is represented by the Kasparov module $(L^2(M, F) \otimes V_{\phi}, \mathcal{F} \otimes \text{id})$. Furthermore, $\text{Ind}_{Z_G(H) \times H}^G \circ f_* \circ \text{mult}([D] \otimes [\phi]) = \text{Ind}_{Z_G(H) \times H}^G(f_*) \text{Ind}_{Z_G(H) \times H}^G(\text{mult}([D] \otimes [\phi]))$. Explicitly, $\text{Ind}_{Z_G(H) \times H}^G(\text{mult}([D] \otimes [\phi]))$ is represented by a Kasparov module which is constructed in the following way. Consider the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow K \rightarrow Z_G(H) \times H \rightarrow G,$$

where $K = Z_G(H) \cap H = Z_H(H)$. We identify $K \backslash Z_G(H) \times H$ with the subgroup $Z_G(H)H \subseteq G$.

Note that we consider M as a $Z_G(H) \times H$ -manifold via the action of the first factor. The $Z_G(H)H$ -manifold $\hat{M} := K \backslash M$ carries an induced equivariant Dirac bundle $\hat{F}$. We further consider the flat $Z_G(H)H$ -equivariant bundle $\hat{V}_\phi := V_\phi \times_K M$ over $\hat{M}$. The twisted bundle $\hat{F} \otimes \hat{V}_\phi$ is a $Z_G(H)H$ -equivariant Dirac bundle. We consider the cocompact proper G -manifold $\tilde{M} := G \times_{Z_G(H)H} \hat{M}$. The $Z_G(H)H$ -equivariant Dirac bundle $\hat{F} \otimes \hat{V}_\phi$ induces a G -equivariant Dirac bundle $\tilde{F}_\phi \rightarrow \tilde{M}$ in a natural way with associated operator $\tilde{D}_\phi$. Then $\text{Ind}_{Z_G(H) \times H}^G(\text{mult}([D] \otimes [\phi]))$ is represented by $[\tilde{D}_\phi]$. The map $\text{Ind}_{Z_G(H) \times H}^G(f_*)$ is induced by the G -map $\tilde{f} : \tilde{M} \rightarrow G \times_{Z_G(H)H} (K \backslash EG \times X^H)$ given by $\tilde{f}([g, Km]) := [g, (Kf_1(m), f_2(m))]$. It is now clear that $T(f_*[D] \otimes [\phi])$ is represented by $h_*[\tilde{D}_\phi]$, where $h : \tilde{M} \rightarrow X$ is given by $h([g, Km]) = gf_2(m)$.

It follows from the associativity of the Kasparov product that

$$\text{index}_\rho([E] \cap T(f_*[D] \otimes [\phi])) = \text{index}_\rho([E] \cap h_*[\tilde{D}_\phi]) = \text{index}_\rho([h^*E] \cap [\tilde{D}_\phi]) .$$

By Theorem 2.2 and Proposition 2.6 we obtain $\text{index}_\rho([h^*E] \cap [\tilde{D}_\phi]) = \text{index}(\bar{D}_{\phi, h^*E, \rho})$, where $\bar{D}_{\phi, h^*E}$ is the G -invariant Dirac operator associated to $\tilde{F} \otimes h^*E$, and $\bar{D}_{\phi, h^*E, \rho}$ is the operator on the orbifold $\bar{M} := G \backslash \tilde{M}$ induced by $\bar{D}_{\phi, h^*E}$ and the twist ρ . Restriction from $\tilde{M}$ to the submanifold $\{1\} \times \hat{M}$ provides an isomorphism

$$\left(C^\infty(\tilde{M}, \tilde{F}_\phi \otimes h^*E) \otimes V_\rho \right)^G \cong \left(C^\infty(\hat{M}, \hat{F} \otimes \hat{V}_\phi \otimes \bar{f}_2^* E|_{X^H}) \otimes V_\rho \right)^{Z_G(H) \times H} ,$$

where $\bar{f}_2 : \hat{M} \rightarrow X^H$ is induced by f_2 . Since the action of H on the latter spaces is implemented by the action on the fibres of $V_\phi \otimes \bar{f}_2^* E|_{X^H} \otimes V_\rho$ we further obtain

$$\left(C^\infty(\tilde{M}, \tilde{F}_\phi \otimes h^*E) \otimes V_\rho \right)^G = C^\infty(\hat{M}, \hat{F} \otimes (V_\phi \otimes \bar{f}_2^* E|_{X^H} \otimes V_\rho)^H)^{K \backslash Z_G(H)} .$$

In the present situation we have $\bar{M} = Z_G(H) \backslash M = (K \backslash Z_G(H)) \backslash \hat{M}$, i.e. the orbifold is smooth, and it carries the Dirac bundle $\bar{F}$ with associated Dirac operator $\bar{D}$. We define the $(K \backslash Z_G(H))$ -equivariant bundle $E_{\phi \otimes \rho} := (V_\phi \otimes E|_{X^H} \otimes V_\rho)^H$ over X^H . Furthermore, we consider the quotient $\overline{\bar{f}_2^* E_{\phi \otimes \rho}} := (K \backslash Z_G(H)) \backslash \bar{f}_2^* E_{\phi \otimes \rho}$ over $\bar{M}$. The identifications above show that $\text{index}_\rho(\bar{D}_{\phi, h^*E}) = \text{index}(\bar{D}_{\overline{\bar{f}_2^* E_{\phi \otimes \rho}}})$, i.e. it is the index of a twisted Dirac operator. Writing the index of the twisted Dirac operator in terms of Chern characters we obtain

$$\text{index}_\rho([E] \cap T(f_*[D] \otimes [\phi])) = \langle \text{ch}(\overline{\bar{f}_2^* E_{\phi \otimes \rho}}), \text{ch}([\bar{D}]) \rangle .$$

Note that $\overline{\bar{f}_2^* E_{\phi \otimes \rho}} = \bar{f}_2^* \overline{\text{pr}_2^* E_{\phi \otimes \rho}}$, where $\text{pr}_2 : EG \times X^H \rightarrow X^H$, $\bar{f} : \bar{M} \rightarrow EG \times_{Z_G(H)} X^H$ is induced by f , and $\text{pr}_2^* E_{\phi \otimes \rho} := Z_G(H) \backslash \text{pr}_2^* E_{\phi \otimes \rho}$. We conclude that

$$\langle \text{ch}(\overline{\bar{f}_2^* E_{\phi \otimes \rho}}), \text{ch}([\bar{D}]) \rangle = \langle \text{ch}(\overline{\text{pr}_2^* E_{\phi \otimes \rho}}), \text{ch}(\bar{f}_*[\bar{D}]) \rangle .$$

The right-hand side can now be written as

$$\langle \epsilon_{\mathbb{C}}(\text{ch}_X^H(b([E])) \otimes [\phi] \otimes \rho), (\text{pr}_2)_* \text{ch}(\bar{f}_*[\bar{D}]) \rangle = \langle \text{ch}_X^H(E), (\text{pr}_2)_* \text{ch}(\bar{f}_*[\bar{D}]) \otimes [\phi] \rangle_\rho .$$

Note that $\mathbf{ch}_H^X((\mathrm{pr}_2)_*\mathbf{ch}(\bar{f}_*[\bar{D}]) \otimes [\phi]) = T(f_*[D] \otimes [\phi])$. Therefore we have shown

$$\mathrm{index}_\rho([E] \cap \mathbf{ch}_H^X((\mathrm{pr}_2)_*\mathbf{ch}(\bar{f}_*[\bar{D}]) \otimes [\phi])) = \langle \mathbf{ch}_X^H(b([E])), \mathbf{ch}(\bar{f}_*[\bar{D}]) \otimes [\phi] \rangle_\rho .$$

Since the classes $(\mathrm{pr}_2)_*\mathbf{ch}(\bar{f}_*[\bar{D}]) \otimes [\phi]$ for varying data M, F, f, ϕ span $H_{ev}(Z_G(H) \setminus X^H, \mathbb{C}) \otimes R_{\mathbb{C}}(H)$ the theorem follows. $\square$

4.2 Decomposition

Lemma 4.2 *Let X be a finite proper G -CW-complex. If $x \in K_0^G(X)_{\mathbb{C}}$ and $\mathrm{index}([E] \cap x) = 0$ for all G -equivariant complex vector bundles E on X , then $x = 0$.*

Proof. Because of the isomorphism (7) it suffices to show that if $A \in H_{ev}(Z_G(C) \setminus X^C, \mathbb{C}) \otimes_{\mathrm{CW}_G(C)} \mathbb{C}(\mathrm{gen}(C))$ and $\mathrm{index}([E] \cap \mathbf{ch}_C^X(A)) = 0$ for all E , then $A = 0$. By Theorem 4.1 we have $\mathrm{index}([E] \cap \mathbf{ch}_C^X(A)) = \langle \mathbf{ch}_H^C(b(\{E\})), A \rangle$. Using the surjectivity of b and of the isomorphism (5), and the fact that the pairing

$$\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : (H_{ev}(Z_G(C) \setminus X^C, \mathbb{C}) \otimes \mathbb{C}(\mathrm{gen}(C)))^{W_G(C)} \otimes (H_{ev}(Z_G(C) \setminus X^C, \mathbb{C}) \otimes_{\mathrm{CW}_G(C)} \mathbb{C}(\mathrm{gen}(C)))$$

is nondegenerate we see that $\langle \mathbf{ch}_H^C(b(\{E\})), A \rangle = 0$ for all E indeed implies $A = 0$. $\square$

Let now M be an even-dimensional proper cocompact G -manifold equipped with a G -invariant Riemannian metric g^M and a G -equivariant Dirac bundle F with associated Dirac operator D . Let $[D]_{\mathbb{C}} \in K_0^G(M)_{\mathbb{C}}$ be the equivariant K -homology class of D .

The G -space M has the G -homotopy type of a finite proper G -CW-complex. In particular, we have the isomorphism (7)

$$\oplus_{(C) \in \mathrm{CF}Cyc(G)} \mathbf{ch}_C^M(1 \otimes r^*) : \bigoplus_{(C) \in \mathrm{CF}Cyc(G)} H_{ev}(Z_G(C) \setminus M^C, \mathbb{C}) \otimes_{\mathrm{CW}_G(C)} \mathbb{C}(\mathrm{gen}(C)) \rightarrow K_0^G(M)_{\mathbb{C}} .$$

Therefore, there exist uniquely determined classes $[D](C) \in H_{ev}(Z_G(C) \setminus M^C, \mathbb{C}) \otimes_{\mathrm{CW}_G(C)} \mathbb{C}(\mathrm{gen}(C))$ such that

$$\sum_{(C) \in \mathrm{CF}Cyc(G)} \mathbf{ch}_C^M(1 \otimes r^*)([D](C)) = [D]_{\mathbb{C}} .$$

Theorem 4.3 *We have the equality*

$$[D](C) = [\hat{U}] ,$$

where $[\hat{U}]$ is given by $\mathrm{gen}(C) \ni g \rightarrow [\hat{U}(g)] \in H_{ev}(Z_G(C) \setminus M^C, \mathbb{C})$, and $\hat{U}(g)$ was defined in (4).

Proof. Let E be any G -equivariant complex vector bundle over M . Then we have

$$\text{index}([E] \cap [D]_{\mathbb{C}}) = \sum_{(C) \in \mathcal{CF}Cyc(G)} \langle \mathbf{ch}_M^C(b(\{E\})), [D](C) \rangle .$$

Using the definition of $\epsilon_{\mathbb{C}}$ and Proposition 3.2 we can write out the summands of right-hand side as follows

$$\langle \mathbf{ch}_M^C(b(\{E\})), [D](C) \rangle = \frac{1}{|C|} \sum_{g \in \text{gen}(C)} \langle [\mathbf{ch}(g, E)], [D](C)(g) \rangle .$$

On the other hand the index formula Theorem 2.7 gives

$$\text{index}([E] \cap [D]_{\mathbb{C}}) = \sum_{(C) \in \mathcal{CF}Cyc(G)} \frac{1}{|C|} \sum_{g \in \text{gen}(C)} \langle [\mathbf{ch}(g, E)], [\hat{U}](g) \rangle .$$

Varying E and using Lemma 4.2 we conclude $[D](C) = [\hat{U}]$. □

References

- [BGV92] Nicole Berline, Ezra Getzler, and Michèle Vergne. *Heat kernels and Dirac operators*, volume 298 of *Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften [Fundamental Principles of Mathematical Sciences]*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1992. 1, 2.1, 2.4
- [BHS] Paul Baum, Nigel Higson, and Thomas Schick. On the Equivalence of Geometric and Analytic K-Homology, arXiv:math.KT/0701484. 4.1
- [Bla98] Bruce Blackadar. *K-theory for operator algebras*, volume 5 of *Mathematical Sciences Research Institute Publications*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, second edition, 1998. 2.1, 2.3
- [BM04] Paul Balmer and Michel Matthey. Model theoretic reformulation of the Baum-Connes and Farrell-Jones conjectures. *Adv. Math.*, 189(2):495–500, 2004. 1
- [Bre93] Glen E. Bredon. *Topology and geometry*, volume 139 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1993.
- [Bro62] Edgar H. Brown, Jr. Nonexistence of low dimension relations between Stiefel-Whitney classes. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 104:374–382, 1962. 3.3

- [Bun95] Ulrich Bunke. A K -theoretic relative index theorem and Callias-type Dirac operators. *Math. Ann.*, 303(2):241–279, 1995. 2.3, 2.6
- [Che73] Paul R. Chernoff. Essential self-adjointness of powers of generators of hyperbolic equations. *J. Functional Analysis*, 12:401–414, 1973. 2.1
- [DL98] James F. Davis and Wolfgang Lück. Spaces over a category and assembly maps in isomorphism conjectures in K - and L -theory. *K-Theory*, 15(3):201–252, 1998. 1
- [Far92a] Carla Farsi. K -theoretical index theorems for good orbifolds. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 115(3):769–773, 1992. 1
- [Far92b] Carla Farsi. K -theoretical index theorems for orbifolds. *Quart. J. Math. Oxford Ser. (2)*, 43(170):183–200, 1992. 1, 1
- [Far92c] Carla Farsi. A note on K -theoretical index theorems for orbifolds. *Proc. Roy. Soc. London Ser. A*, 437(1900):429–431, 1992. 1
- [Fed88] V.V. Fedorchuk. The fundamentals of dimension theory. General topology. I. Basic concepts and constructions. Dimension theory. *Encycl. Math. Sci.* 17, 91-192 (1990); translation from *Itogi Nauki Tekh.*, Ser. Sovrem. Probl. Mat., Fundam. Napravleniya 17, 111-224 (1988)., 1988.
- [GHT00] Erik Guentner, Nigel Higson, and Jody Trout. Equivariant E -theory for C^* -algebras. *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 148(703):viii+86, 2000. 2.2
- [Kas88] G. G. Kasparov. Equivariant KK -theory and the Novikov conjecture. *Invent. Math.*, 91(1):147–201, 1988. 2.1, 2.2, 2.3
- [Kaw78] Tetsuro Kawasaki. The signature theorem for V -manifolds. *Topology*, 17(1):75–83, 1978. 1
- [Kaw79] Tetsuro Kawasaki. The Riemann-Roch theorem for complex V -manifolds. *Osaka J. Math.*, 16(1):151–159, 1979. 1
- [Kaw81] Tetsuro Kawasaki. The index of elliptic operators over V -manifolds. *Nagoya Math. J.*, 84:135–157, 1981. 1, 1
- [LO01a] Wolfgang Lück and Bob Oliver. Chern characters for the equivariant K -theory of proper G -CW-complexes. In *Cohomological methods in homotopy theory (Bellaterra, 1998)*, volume 196 of *Progr. Math.*, pages 217–247. Birkhäuser, Basel, 2001. 3.1

- [LO01b] Wolfgang Lück and Bob Oliver. The completion theorem in K -theory for proper actions of a discrete group. *Topology*, 40(3):585–616, 2001. 1
- [Lüc02a] Wolfgang Lück. Chern characters for proper equivariant homology theories and applications to K - and L -theory. *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, 543:193–234, 2002. 3.4
- [Lüc02b] Wolfgang Lück. The relation between the Baum-Connes conjecture and the trace conjecture. *Invent. Math.*, 149(1):123–152, 2002. 1, 3.4
- [MN06] Ralf Meyer and Ryszard Nest. The Baum-Connes conjecture via localisation of categories. *Topology*, 45(2):209–259, 2006. 1
- [Whi36] Hassler Whitney. Differentiable manifolds. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 37(3):645–680, 1936. 3.3
- [Whi55] Hassler Whitney. On singularities of mappings of euclidean spaces. I. Mappings of the plane into the plane. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 62:374–410, 1955. 3.3